



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/714,248 | 11/13/2003 | George W. Hager II | 16502 | 2457 | |
| | 7590 06/27/200 MENS MARTIN & MI | EXAMINER | | | |
| 28366 KENSINGTON LANE | | | PICO, ERIC E | | |
| PERRYSBURG, OH 43551 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3654 | | |
| • | | • | | | |
| • | | 1 | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| • | | | 06/27/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/714,248 | HAGER, GEORGE W. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Eric Pico | 3654 | | |

| · | Eric Pico | 3654 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>18 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7. | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | ecause |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | |
| (c) \infty They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or (d) \infty They present additional claims without canceling a | • | | the issues for |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | - | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | · | _ |
| 7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. | | II be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | .5 0 | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | , | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | at does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | Peter M. Cuomo | _ |
| | | pervisory Patent Ex Technology Center | cem iner |
| | | ·· | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended independent claims 1, 10, and 16 "fixedly connected between said actuating arm and ceiling or a wall of a shaft" raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.